

EM375 Project Handout LAUNCH SPEED vs. STRETCH RATIO

THEORY: We can calculate the launch speed by assuming the energy put into stretching the rubber tubes is converted into kinetic energy of the projectile. For a full analysis, we need to consider the following:

- 1) The stress/strain relationship of the tubing is nonlinear, so we cannot use simple linear theory to determine the energy put into stretching the tubes.
- 2) The mass that is accelerated is the projectile itself. We also have to take into account the acceleration of the launch pouch and the tubing mass.
- 3) We do not have a 100% efficient transfer of energy from elastic potential energy to kinetic energy.

All of these terms will be taken into account.

NONLINEAR MATERIAL: The elastic energy stored in the tubing is the work done in stretching the tube from its initial length to its final length. The elastic potential energy is given by:

$$PE = \int_0^{\Delta L} F dx$$

The force, F , in this equation can be written in terms of the stress in the tubing times the cross sectional area of the tube. Hence:

$$PE = \int_0^{\Delta L} s_n A_0 dx$$

where s_n is the stress and A_0 is the nominal cross sectional area of the tubing.

The strain hardening relationship (from the “Rubbers Data Reduction”) is:

$$s_n = s_0 e_n^a$$

where s_0 is a constant with units of stress, a is a dimensionless strain hardening exponent, and e_n is the strain $\Delta L/L_0$. Recall that the rubbers data reduction handout determined values for s_0 and a . Using the previous two equations, the potential energy stored in one single rubber tube becomes:

$$PE = \frac{A_0 s_0}{[a + 1] L_0^a} [\Delta L]^{a+1}$$

CORRECTIONS FOR MASS: There are *three* mass terms that have to be considered: The mass of the projectile itself; the mass of the pouch holder; and a fraction of the mass of the tubing. This last term is because some of the tubing (near the holder) is accelerated to the full speed of the projectile, whereas some of the tubing (near the frame) is not accelerated at all.

Let's see how to deal with the mass of the tubing. We assume that as the tubing moves, the speed of an element of the tube is a linear function of its position down the tube. This means that, for example, if we measure half way down the tube, the speed of the rubber band will be half of the speed of the water ball. Hence, the speed, v , of the element is given by $v = V_i \frac{x}{L}$ where x is the position of the element down the tube. The kinetic energy in the element will be:

$$dKE = \frac{dm_T \times v^2}{2}$$

where m_T is the total mass of the tubing and $\partial m_T = \frac{m_T}{L} \partial x$. Substituting the mass and speed equations into the kinetic energy equation yields:

$$dKE = \frac{m_T V_i^2}{2L^3} x^2 dx$$

Now let's integrate to find the total kinetic energy in the rubber band:

$$KE = \int_{x=0}^L dKE = \int_{x=0}^L \frac{m_T V_i^2}{2L^3} x^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_T}{3} V_i^2$$

We compare this result to the "normal" kinetic energy of a mass, and see that the kinetic energy in a band of mass m_T can be calculated by assuming its effective mass is one-third of its total mass.

The total kinetic energy at launch is therefore:

$$KE = \frac{1}{2} \left(m + \frac{m_T}{3} + m_C \right) V_i^2$$

where m_C is the mass of the pouch.

EFFICIENCY OF ENERGY TRANSFER: We assume that only a certain fraction, η , of the elastic potential energy is converted into kinetic energy. Thus:

$$KE = \eta \cdot PE$$

ALMOST FINAL RESULT: We combine the previous results and find that the launch speed can be calculated as:

$$V_i = \sqrt{\frac{2\eta \cdot PE}{\left(m + \frac{m_r}{3} + m_c\right)}}$$

Rather than rearranging all the equations for the launch speed, in MathCAD it is probably easier to define several functions

$$PE(\lambda)$$

$$KE(\lambda) := \eta \cdot PE(\lambda)$$

and $V_i(\lambda) :=$ (a function with the above equation)