CADs More Formally

- There are three basic operations for CAD: Projection, Stack Construction, and Solution Formula Construction.

- There are other operations as well, like the truth propagation we used for quantifier elimination, CAD simplification, and adjacency computations.

- This section focuses on the three basic operations.
Definition of *cylindrical*

- **Definition:** A decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^n$ into finitely many connected regions is *cylindrical* if for any two partition regions $a$ and $b$ and for any $k$, where $0 < k < n$, the projections onto $\mathbb{R}^k$ of $a$ and $b$ are either identical or disjoint.
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Definition of \textit{CAD}

- **Definition:** A decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^n$ into finitely many connected regions is \textit{cylindrical} if for any two partition regions $a$ and $b$ and for any $k$, where $0 < k < n$, the projections onto $\mathbb{R}^k$ of $a$ and $b$ are either identical or disjoint.

- **Definition:** A \textit{Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition} is cylindrical decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^n$ into semi-algebraic sets.

- **Definition:** A set of irreducible polynomials is a \textit{projection factor set} if the natural algebraic decomposition it defines is a CAD.
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How to make a decomposition cylindrical
How to make a decomposition cylindrical
Making natural algebraic decompositions cylindrical

In the pictures below, the “pancakes” are zero sets of polynomials.
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cylindrical over refined regions
Delineability

Let \( S \) be a connected subset of \( \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \) and let \( f \) be a continuous real-valued function on \( S \), and let \( p \) be a \( k \)-level polynomial that is not nullified (i.e. identically zero) anywhere in \( S \).

- If \( p(\bar{x}, f(\bar{x})) = 0 \) for all \( \bar{x} \in S \), the graph of \( f \) over \( S \) is a section of \( p \).

- If the zero set of \( p \) over \( S \) consists of finitely many disjoint sections, \( p \) is said to be delineable over \( S \).

- A set of \( k \)-level polynomials is delineable over \( S \) if each polynomial is either nullified or delineable over \( S \) and if sections of any two elements of the set are either identical or disjoint.
Delineable or Not Delineable!

delineable over region
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Delineable or Not Delineable!

Punchline: If a set of polynomials is *delineable* over region $S$, the natural algebraic decomposition of $S \times \mathbb{R}$ they define is *cylindrical*.
Delineability and CADs

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$ be a projection factor set.

- If $c$ is a cell in the induced CAD of $(k - 1)$-space, the $k$-level projection factors are delineable over $c$.

- If $A$ is a set of irreducible $(k + 1)$-level polynomials that are delineable over each cell of the CAD defined by $P$, $A \cup P$ is a projection factor set.
Delineability and the Rolle’s Theorem Problem
Delineability and the Rolle’s Theorem Problem

\[ f(x) = x^2 + ax + b \]
\[ f'(x) = 2x + a \]
\[ f''(x) = 2 \]
Delineability and the Rolle’s Theorem Problem

\[ f(x) = x^2 + ax + b \]
\[ f'(x) = 2x + a \]
\[ f''(x) = 2 \]
Delineability and the Rolle’s Theorem Problem

\[ f(x) = x^2 + a \times x + b \]
\[ f'(x) = 2 \times x + a \]
\[ f''(x) = 2 \]
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Recall ...

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$ be a projection factor set.

- If $c$ is a cell in the induced CAD of $(k - 1)$-space, the $k$-level projection factors are delineable over $c$.

- If $A$ is a set of irreducible $(k + 1)$-level polynomials that are delineable over each cell of the CAD defined by $P$, $A \cup P$ is a projection factor set.
Projection Operator

Let \( A \) be a set of irreducible polynomials in \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \), and let \( A_k \) denote the \( k \)-level elements of \( A \).

**Goal:** Construct a projection factor set that contains \( A \).

Define function \( P \) such that \( P(A_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}] \) and any projection factor set \( Q \) containing the irreducible factors of \( P(A_n) \) defines a CAD over whose cells \( A_n \) is delineable. I.e. \( Q \cup A_n \) is a projection factor set.

The \( k \)-level problem “construct a projection factor set containing \( A \)” becomes the \( (k - 1) \)-level problem “construct a projection factor set containing \( (A - A_k) \cup P(A_k) \)”.

The function \( P \) is called a *projection operator*. 
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Projection Operator Overview

- There are many projection operators:
  - Collins’ projection operator (the original)
  - Hong’s projection operator (improves on Collins’)
  - McCallum’s projection operator
  - Brown-McCallum projection operator (improves McCallum’s)
  - “special purpose” projection operators: Collins-McCallum equational constraints, Seidl-Sturm generic CAD, Strzeboński solving strict systems, etc.

- Projection operators that produce small sets are best
Brown-McCallum Projection

- The following is *almost* a projection operator:

\[ P(A_k) = \bigcup_{p \in A_k} \{ \text{disc}_{x_k}(p), \text{larc}_{x_k}(p) \} \cup \bigcup_{p,q \in A_k} \text{res}_{x_k}(p,q) \]

This is the Brown-McCallum projection.

- The Brown-McCallum projection is smallest, but may fail to produce a CAD such \( A_k \) is delineable over each cell. Details about when and why will be left ’til later.
Projection Example 2D

\[ A_2 = \{ p = 2y^2 - x^2(2x + 3), \ q = 2(x + 1)y - 1 \} \]
A_2 = \{ p = 2y^2 - x^2(2x + 3), \; q = 2(x + 1)y - 1 \}

P(A_2) :
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\[ A_2 = \{ p = 2y^2 - x^2(2x + 3), \ q = 2(x + 1)y - 1 \} \]

\[ P(A_2) : \]
\[ \text{disc}_y(p) \]
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\[ A_2 = \{ p = 2y^2 - x^2(2x + 3), \quad q = 2(x + 1)y - 1 \} \]

\[ P(A_2) : \]
\[ \text{disc}_y(p) \]
\[ \text{res}_y(p, q) \]
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\[ A_2 = \{ p = 2y^2 - x^2(2x + 3), \ q = 2(x + 1)y - 1 \} \]

\[ P(A_2) : \disc_y(p) \]
\[ \res_y(p, q) \]
\[ \ldcf_y(q) \]
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\[ A_2 = \{ p = 2y^2 - x^2(2x + 3), \; q = 2(x + 1)y - 1 \}\]
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\[\exists z \left[ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1 < 0 \land 2(x + y)z - 1 > 0 \right]\]
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\[ \exists z \left[ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1 < 0 \land 2(x + y)z - 1 > 0 \right] \]

\[ A = \{ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1, 2(x + y)z - 1, y \} \]
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\[ \exists z \left[ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1 < 0 \land 2(x + y)z - 1 > 0 \right] \]

\[
A = \{ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1, 2(x + y)z - 1, y \}
\]

\[
P(A) = \{ y^2 + x^2 - 1, y + x, \\
4y^4 + 8xy^3 + 8x^2y^2 - 4y^2 + 8x^3y - 8xy + 4x^4 - 4x^2 + 1 \}
\]
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A = \{ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1, 2(x + y)z - 1, y \} \\
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B = \{ y^2 + x^2 - 1, y + x, \\
4y^4 + 8xy^3 + 8x^2y^2 - 4y^2 + 8x^3y - 8xy + 4x^4 - 4x^2 + 1 \}
\]

\[
P(B) = \{ x + 1, x - 1, x, 32x^6 - 80x^4 + 85x^2 - 32, 2x^2 - 1 \}
\]
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\[ \exists z \left[ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1 < 0 \land 2(x + y)z - 1 > 0 \right] \]

\[ A = \{ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1, 2(x + y)z - 1, y \} \]
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\[ \exists z \left( x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1 < 0 \land 2(x + y)z - 1 > 0 \land y > 0 \right) \]

\[
A = \{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1, 2(x + y)z - 1, y\}
\]
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A_3 = \{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1, 2(x + y)z - 1\}
\]
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4y^4 + 8xy^3 + 8x^2y^2 - 4y^2 + 8x^3y - 8xy + 4x^4 - 4x^2 + 1 \} \]
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Projection Example 3D

\[ \exists z \left[ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1 < 0 \land 2(x + y)z - 1 > 0 \land y > 0 \right] \]

\[
\begin{align*}
A & = \{ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1, 2(x + y)z - 1, y \} \\
A_3 & = \{ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1, 2(x + y)z - 1 \} \\
P(A_3) & = \{ y^2 + x^2 - 1, y + x, \\
& \quad 4y^4 + 8xy^3 + 8x^2y^2 - 4y^2 + 8x^3y - 8xy + 4x^4 - 4x^2 + 1 \} \\
B & = A \cup P(A_3) \\
B_2 & = \{ y, y^2 + x^2 - 1, y + x, \\
& \quad 4y^4 + 8xy^3 + 8x^2y^2 - 4y^2 + 8x^3y - 8xy + 4x^4 - 4x^2 + 1 \} \\
P(B_2) & = \{ x + 1, x - 1, x, 32x^6 - 80x^4 + 85x^2 - 32, 2x^2 - 1 \} \\
C & = B \cup P(B_2) \text{ is a projection factor set}
\end{align*}
\]
Lifting (a.k.a. Stack Construction)

$A$: initial polynomials $\xrightarrow{\text{Projection}} P$: projection factor set, $A \subseteq P$ $\xrightarrow{\text{Lifting}} D$: data structure for the CAD defined by $P$

The *lifting* or *stack construction* phase produces an explicit data structure representing the CAD defined implicitly by the projection factor set.

- The data structure represents every cell from the induced CADs of $\mathbb{R}^1, \mathbb{R}^2, \ldots$
- A cell in the induced CAD of $k$-space is represented by a sample point from that cell and a list of the cells from the induced CAD of $(k + 1)$-space that are stacked over it.
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The lifting (stack construction) process

• Let $c$ be a $k$-level cell with sample point $\alpha$.

• Let $P_{k+1}$ be the projection factors of level $k + 1$.

• To lift over $c$ (i.e. construct the children of $c$) we

  1. construct $\overline{P}_{k+1} = \{ f(\alpha, x_{k+1}) \mid f \in P_{k+1} \}$
  2. Compute $\beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_s$, the roots of elements of $\overline{P}_{k+1}$
  3. Choose rationals $r_1, \ldots, r_{s+1}$ s.t. $r_1 < \beta_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_s < \beta_s < r_{s+1}$
  4. Set $c$’s children to cells with sample points $(\alpha, r_1), (\alpha, \beta_1), \ldots, (\alpha, r_{s+1})$
Lifting Example Detail

• Let \( c \) be the 1-level section cell with sample point \( \sqrt{1/2} \)
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• Let \( c \) be the 1-level section cell with sample point \( \sqrt{1/2} \)

• Suppose \( P_2 = \{ y, y^2 + x^2 - 1, y + x, 4y^4 + 8xy^3 + 8x^2y^2 - 4y^2 + 8x^3y - 8xy + 4x^4 - 4x^2 + 1 \} \)
Lifting Example Detail

- Let $c$ be the 1-level section cell with sample point $\sqrt{1/2}$

- Suppose $P_2 = \{y, y^2 + x^2 - 1, y + x, 4y^4 + 8xy^3 + 8x^2y^2 - 4y^2 + 8x^3y - 8xy + 4x^4 - 4x^2 + 1\}$

- Substituting $x = \sqrt{1/2}$ into $P_2$ gives $P_2 = \{y, y^2 - 1/2, y + \sqrt{1/2}, 2y(2y^3 + 4\sqrt{1/2}y^2 - 2\sqrt{1/2})\}$
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- Roots of $\overline{P}_2$ are $\{-\sqrt{1/2}, 0, \beta, \sqrt{1/2}\}$, where $\beta$ is the unique root of $x^3 + 2\sqrt{1/2}x^2 - \sqrt{1/2}$ between $1/2$ and $5/8$
Lifting Example Detail

• Let $c$ be the 1-level section cell with sample point $\sqrt{1/2}$

• Suppose $P_2 = \{y, y^2 + x^2 - 1, y + x, 4y^4 + 8xy^3 + 8x^2y^2 - 4y^2 + 8x^3y - 8xy + 4x^4 - 4x^2 + 1\}$

• Substituting $x = \sqrt{1/2}$ into $P_2$ gives $\overline{P}_2 = \{y, y^2 - 1/2, y + \sqrt{1/2}, 2y(2y^3 + 4\sqrt{1/2}y^2 - 2\sqrt{1/2})\}$

• Roots of $\overline{P}_2$ are $\{-\sqrt{1/2}, 0, \beta, \sqrt{1/2}\}$, where $\beta$ is the unique root of $x^3 + 2\sqrt{1/2}x^2 - \sqrt{1/2}$ between $1/2$ and $5/8$

• Children of $c$ are $(\sqrt{1/2}, -1), (\sqrt{1/2}, -\sqrt{1/2}), (\sqrt{1/2}, -1/4), (\sqrt{1/2}, 0), (\sqrt{1/2}, 1/4), (\sqrt{1/2}, \beta), (\sqrt{1/2}, 21/32), (\sqrt{1/2}, \sqrt{1/2}), (\sqrt{1/2}, 1)$
Lifting Issues

- Typically, more time is spent lifting than doing anything else.
- One must isolate roots of univariate polynomials, often with algebraic number coefficients.
- Algebraic number representation and algorithms are crucial.
- Root isolation algorithm is crucial.
- Use of validated floating-point computation can make a huge difference ... but are a real pain in the neck to implement!
Solution Formula Construction

Given set \( S \) represented by a CAD, construct a Tarski formula defining \( S \).

- Construct formula from projection factors (CAD contains complete information about their signs).

- “Simple” formulas are desirable!

- Hong showed how to reduce simple formula construction to a combinatorial optimization problem.

- CAD’s ability to provide simple solution formulas is unique.

- Some sets don’t have simple defining formulas!

- Note: Allowing the user to state “assumptions” is nice.
### Solution Formula Construction Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cell</th>
<th>$P_{1,1}$</th>
<th>$P_{1,2}$</th>
<th>$P_{2,1}$</th>
<th>$T/F$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, 1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, 2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, 3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5, 1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Solution Formula Construction Example

$P_{2,1} < 0$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cell</th>
<th>$P_{1,1}$</th>
<th>$P_{1,2}$</th>
<th>$P_{2,1}$</th>
<th>$T/F$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>$T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Solution Formula Construction Example

\[
P_{2,1} < 0 \ \vee \ \ P_{1,1} = 0 \ \land \ P_{2,1} = 0
\]
Solution Formula Construction Problem

\[ \exists y [x^2 + y^2 - 1 < 0 \land x - y < 0] \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cell</th>
<th>(x + 1)</th>
<th>(x - 1)</th>
<th>(x^2 - 2)</th>
<th>T/F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Projection Definability

- Let $C$ be a CAD (with truth values) representing a set $S$.

- When there is a Tarski formula defining $S$ in which only elements of $C$’s projection factor set appear, $C$ is said to be projection definable.

- When a CAD is not projection definable, we can
  1. add extra projection factors
  2. extend the language of Tarski formulas

- The projection definability problem tells us that in some sense CADs are more efficient representations of semi-algebraic sets than Tarski formulas.