Introduction

Intraseasonal tropical climate variability has important implications for mid- and high-latitude climate. Recent studies have found modulation of a number of weather processes in the Northern Hemisphere, such as snow depth (Guan et al. 2012; Barrett et al. 2015; Li et al. 2016), sea ice concentration (Henderson et al. 2014), precipitation (Donald et al. 2006), atmospheric rivers (Higgins et al. 2000), and air temperature (Vecchi and Bond 2004; See et al. 2016; Zhou et al. 2016). In such studies, the leading mode of intraseasonal variability, the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO), has tended to lag tropical convection by approximately 7 days. However, such consensus is still absent when considering the relationship and lag between the MJO and the Antarctic atmosphere. Flatau and Kim (2013) suggested a lag of 7-10 days between the Antarctic Oscillation (AOO) and the MJO, while Fauchereau et al. (2016) and Henderson et al. (2018) suggested important lags between MJO convection and extratropical circulation out to 20 days.

This study builds on previous work by creating an index to detect the MJO signal in datasets that measure or simulate zonal wind and outgoing longwave radiation. The goal is to use that index to examine the MJO in climate models, including in Antarctica.

Methodology

In order to emulate the Wheeler-Hendon MJO index for CMIP6 model output, we followed the framework set out in an NCL code example to construct a methodology do the following:

1. Anomaly Calculation
2. Filtering
3. MJO index

The resultant MJO metric that we calculate will hereafter be referred to as the Jackson MJO (JM) index.

Data and Methods

The analyses in this study were based on two publicly available datasets:

1. NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory - Physical Sciences Division (ESRL-PSD) reanalysis:
   - Daily values of OLR
   - Daily U-component of 200 and 850 hPa winds
   - All three variables were analyzed with respect to time from January 1975 to December 2018, and geographically from latitudes 15°S to 15°N for all longitudes
   - Index values calculated using data from January 1st, 1975 through December 31st, 2018 were used to construct the scatter plots in Result 1.
2. Real-time Multivariate MJO (MJO) index (Wheeler and Hendon 2004; hereafter, WH04):
   - MJO index includes eight phases, each corresponding to a broad geographic location of the MJO’s enhanced equatorial convective signal
   - For this study, when the RMM amplitude was greater than 1.0 we classified as “active”
   - The RMM index has been calculated numerous times before our purpose in calculating it again is to be able to replicate previous MJO indices and be able to apply it to climate model output

Results

Result 1: Comparison with Wheeler-Hendon MJO

• Daily values of JM RMM1, RMM2, amplitude, and phase angle were compared with daily values of the Wheeler-Hendon (WH, 2004) MJO index calculated by the Australian Bureau of Meteorology (WH04).
• When comparing the JM and WH MJO indices:
  - The JM and WH RMM1 and RMM2 principal components were strongly linearly correlated, with correlation coefficients of 0.85 (Fig. 1a) and 0.86 (Fig. 1b).
  - The correlation coefficient for JM and WH amplitude was less than for RMM1 and RMM2 (0.72: Fig. 1c). One possible reason for this weaker correlation is because the correlation coefficient accounts for all days, not just active MJO days, so amplitude values < 1.0 are included in the coefficient and could result in a weaker linear correlation between JM and WM amplitudes.
  - The correlation coefficient for JM and WH phase angle was less than RMM1, RMM2, or amplitude (0.59: Fig. 1d).

Future Work:

• Indices for MJO from the CMIP6 data will be compared to WH and JM data in a similar manner as this comparison.
• Scatter plots will be used to visually determine the level of linear correlation between CMIP6 model indices and JM/WH indices, as well as correlation coefficients for each index.

Result 2: Phase-space Comparison

• Resulting JM indices were compared to the Australian Bureau of Meteorology (WH04) and NCL RMM1 and RMM2 for two time periods:
  - October 1st, 2018 to December 31st of 2018 (Fig. 2).
  - October 16th, 1996 to April 15th, 1997 (Fig. 3).
• In comparing our methodology to either the Australian Bureau of Meteorology or the NCL NCAR estimate, we seem to be capturing approximately the correct amplitude of MJO index (proximity from center of RMM diagram), however our phase estimate is slightly lagged.
• Confidence in the JM methodology comes from the similarity between the top figures, which originated from outside sources, and the bottom figures, which were produced by our JM phase methodology.

Future Work:

• CMIP6 model data can be used to create phase space diagrams similar to those seen in figures 2 and 3.
• The process used to create the JM index can be duplicated with the use of CMIP6 data in order to create phase space diagrams and other products that can aid in the prediction of future MJO behavior and patterns.
• The replication of the process used to create the JM index is straightforward and allows for the use of a wide variety of model data to predict future MJO behavior and its tropical-Antarctic teleconnections.

Result 3: OLR spatial composites

• OLR spatial composites by MJO phase were plotted for Nov-Mar for both JM and WH04 methods:
  - OLR composite from the NOAA Climate Prediction Center (Fig. 4).
  - OLR composite from the JM spatial composite estimate (Fig. 5).
• In comparing the JM composites to those of the Climate Prediction Center, we seem to be capturing approximately the eastward propagation of an area of decreased OLR (blue coloration), which occurs to the east of an area of increased OLR (orange coloration) for all MJO phases. The strength of these primary areas of increased/decreased OLR are similar: in both composites, the area of increased OLR has a strength of 5 to 15 W m⁻², and the area of decreased OLR has a strength of -10 to -20 W m⁻².
• The accuracy of our methodology can be seen in the similarity between Figures 4 (from NOAA WH04) and 5 (from the JM methodology).

Future Work:

• CMIP6 OLR data could be used to create similar OLR spatial composites for future behavior of the MJO.
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