

Relation between the Ampère-Maxwell and Biot-Savart Laws—C.E. Mungan, Spring 2005

This document is a discussion of Michael Langham's poster on "Maxwell's Law" presented as paper AB02 on 1/10/05 at the AAPT meeting in Albuquerque. I extend his results to a charge distribution rather than a single point charge, allow for Ampère's source term in the Maxwell law, and clarify what exactly Michael's result proves.

Suppose there is a charge distribution in space with (volume) charge density ρ . Next suppose there is a small platform located at position \mathbf{r} , at which we are interested in measuring the electric and magnetic fields, \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} , respectively. Consider three different possibilities for the motion of the charges and platform:

A—The charges and platform are at rest;

B—The platform is at rest, but the charges are all moving with the same velocity \mathbf{v} ;

C—Both the platform and charges are moving with velocity \mathbf{v} .

Clearly configurations A and C must be relativistically identical, so that $d\mathbf{E}/dt = 0$ is measured at the platform in both cases. But for configuration C we can partition this time dependence of $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ into two parts,

$$\frac{d\mathbf{E}}{dt} = \frac{\partial\mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{\partial\mathbf{E}}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial\mathbf{E}}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{\partial\mathbf{E}}{\partial z} \frac{dz}{dt} \right) \quad (1)$$

which becomes

$$0 = \frac{\partial\mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{E}, \quad (2)$$

often called the "material derivative."

But now consider the vector identity (obtained from the BAC-CAB rule for constant vector field \mathbf{v})

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E}) = \mathbf{v}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}) - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{v} \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{E}, \quad (3)$$

using Gauss' law in the second step. Solve Eq. (2) for the last term and substitute that in for the last term in Eq. (3) to obtain

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E}) = \mathbf{v} \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} + \frac{\partial\mathbf{E}}{\partial t}. \quad (4)$$

Finally divide this equation by the speed of light squared to get

$$\nabla \times \left(\frac{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E}}{c^2} \right) = \mu_0(\rho \mathbf{v}) + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial\mathbf{E}}{\partial t}. \quad (5)$$

We immediately recognize this as the Ampère-Maxwell law provided that the current density is identified as

$$\mathbf{J} = \rho \mathbf{v} \quad (6)$$

and the magnetic field produced at position \mathbf{r} in configuration B is

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E}}{c^2}. \quad (7)$$

It is easy to verify that Eq. (6) correctly describes our charge distribution in uniform motion. Define the x -axis to be the direction of the velocity \mathbf{v} . Then,

$$\mathbf{J} = J \hat{\mathbf{i}} \equiv \frac{dQ}{(dydz)dt} \hat{\mathbf{i}} = \frac{dQ dx}{(dx dy dz) dt} \hat{\mathbf{i}} = \frac{dQ}{dV} \frac{dx}{dt} \hat{\mathbf{i}} = \rho \mathbf{v} \quad (8)$$

as desired. Furthermore we can readily show that Eq. (7) is simply the Biot-Savart law in disguise,

$$d\mathbf{B} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{(\mathbf{J} dV) \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{r^2} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\mathbf{v} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{r^2} \rho dV = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c^2} \times \left(\frac{dQ}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \right) = \frac{\mathbf{v} \times d\mathbf{E}}{c^2} \quad (9)$$

using Coulomb's law in the last step.

To conclude, the present derivation shows that in magnetostatics the Ampère-Maxwell and Biot-Savart laws are equivalent (i.e., if you assume either one, you can derive the other one), in the same way that in electrostatics Gauss' and Coulomb's law are equivalent.